

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

Justin Langford,

2:16-cv-01581-JAD-CWH

Plaintiff

Order

V.

Stan Colton, et al.

[ECF Nos. 3, 5]

Defendants

10 Justin Langford, an inmate at the High Desert State Prison, brings this civil-rights action.
11 When it was discovered that he did not pay the \$400 filing fee or submit an application to proceed *in*
12 *forma pauperis*, the magistrate judge ordered him to pay the fee or submit a completed IFP
13 application by May 22, 2017, or have this case dismissed.¹ When that deadline passed without
14 payment or an application, the magistrate judge recommended that I dismiss this case.² Langford
15 objects, explaining that he did not receive the original court order—although he received
16 correspondence from the court, the papers were from someone else’s case.³ So he asks for additional
17 time to respond. He further explains that he is in protective segregated custody with limited law-
18 library access.

19 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Langford's motion to extend time to
20 either pay the \$400 filing fee or file a completed *in forma pauperis* application [ECF No. 5] is
21 **GRANTED.** IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

22 The Clerk of Court is directed to **SEND** plaintiff the approved form application for a prisoner
23 to proceed *in forma pauperis* along with the document entitled “Information and Instructions for
24 Filing an *In Forma Pauperis* Application.”

¹ ECF No. 2.

² ECF No. 3.

³ ECF No 4

1 Plaintiff has until August 11, 2017,⁴ to either (1) file a complete application to proceed
2 *in forma pauperis* on the correct form with complete financial attachments in compliance with 28
3 U.S.C. § 1915(a) (which requires him to complete the application and attach both an inmate account
4 statement for the past six months and a properly executed financial certificate); or (2) pay the full
5 \$400 filing fee (which includes the \$350 filing fee and the \$50 administrative fee). If plaintiff does
6 not fully complete either option 1 or 2 by August 11, 2017, this case will be dismissed without
7 further prior notice.

8 The Report and Recommendation for dismissal [3] is **REJECTED** in light of the
9 circumstances.

10 Dated this 27th day of June, 2017.


Jennifer A. Dorsey
United States District Judge

⁴ Although Langford requested a 120-day extension, the court finds that a four-month extension of time is not reasonable; so the court shortens that extension to a more reasonable one.